

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	CR 72787
	)	
KEVIN COOPER,	)	Supreme Court No. _____
	)	Crim 24552
Defendant-Appellant.	)	

---

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY

HONORABLE DAVID C. MERRIAM, JUDGE PRESIDING

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

THURSDAY, AUGUST 11, 1983

VOL. 2

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff-Respondent:	JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP State Attorney General 110 West "A" Street Suite 700 San Diego, California 92101
For Defendant-Appellant:	IN PROPRIA PERSONA

C O P Y

NOEMI LUCCHESI, C.S.R.  
Official Reporter, C-3136

00091171



1 ONTARIO, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, AUGUST 11, 1983; 3:00 P.M.

2 -oOo-

3  
4 THE COURT: Afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. I  
5 appreciate you coming.

6 The sole purpose of my having this session is to  
7 receive your requests for media coverage in the courtroom  
8 and then to try and comply with Rules of Court 980.2 which  
9 most of you are probably more familiar with than I am.

10 It's the preliminary intention of the Court to  
11 allow in in-courtroom media coverage. It will be the  
12 intention of the Court to allow the one pool camera for  
13 the T.V. stations and what have you, and so that's going  
14 to thrust back on you folks, according to the rules --  
15 I'd like you to try and designate, if you can this  
16 afternoon, who your pool camera is going to be so that we  
17 know that, and that person can get set up, what have you.

18 We do plan to start at 8:30. So you'll have to  
19 get set up before that.

20 It would be my intention also to allow up to two  
21 still cameras, and as I see I only have two requests for  
22 still cameras, and that's from The Daily Report and from  
23 The Sun, San Bernardino -- and so it would be my intention  
24 to grant those requests.

25 You should make yourselves familiar with the  
26 limitations under 980.2. If you don't have that, we'll













